

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/668,356	MIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Thuy V. Tran	2821	

Status of Application: *Pending*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1:20 PM ET

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Part I.

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A teleconference was held to discuss about the corrections to be made to claim 1 to improve antecedent basis, and to the abstract to be in accordance with MPEP 608.01(b), resulting in an authorized Examiner's Amendment including:

In the abstract:

Page 30 (of the disclosure), lines 9-15, delete "for generating first and second PWM pulses ... PWM pulses, respectively"; and

Page 30 (of the disclosure), lines 15-20, delete "for secondarily delaying the delayed first and second PWM pulses ... respectively";

In the claims:

Claim 1, line 10, change "the" (first occurrence) to --a--; and

Claim 1, line 15, change "the" (first occurrence) to --a--.